

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,018	06/29/2001	Leslie John Cass	ADAMS1100	9324
75	590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Lisa A Haile Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
	Drive Suite 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA	92121		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/830,018	CASS, LESLIE JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Igor Borissov	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thir beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Ale	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 June 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 29-71 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-71</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	` ·	· · · · · ·	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Au. 1						
Attachment(s)	ان محمد ا	Summon (DTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>				

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 1-43 been renumbered as 29-71.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 29-33, 35-36, 39-44, 46-47, 50-54, 58-63 and 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bashan et al. (US 5,339,000).

Bashan et al. (hereinafter Bashan) teaches a method and system for monitoring parked vehicles, comprising:

As per claims 29, 40, 51, 60 and 69,

a hand-held device for monitoring and identifying a vehicle in at least one parking zone, the device including input means for feeding input identification

Art Unit: 3629

particulars of a vehicle parked in a parking zone into the device (column 5, lines 42-45); communication means for receiving reference identification particulars of vehicles communicated from a remote station to the device (column 6, lines 21-26); storage means for storing said reference identification particulars column 6, lines 4-8); timing means for timing the duration for which the vehicle is parked in the parking zone (column 6, lines 14-15); processor means connected to the input means and to the storage means, the processor means including comparator means for comparing the input identification particulars with the reference identification particulars, the processor means being operable to calculate a monetary amount due for parking for said duration in the parking zone so that the device functions as a parking meter (column 8, lines 12-25); signal generation means for selectively generating a warning signal in response to said comparison (column 4, lines 32-34); display means (column 6, lines 55-61); and monetary receiving means for receiving the monetary amount due (column 11, lines 55-61).

As per claims 30, 41, 53 and 62, said method and system, wherein the monetary receiving means includes card reading means for reading information stored on a card and feeding it to the processor means for processing payment electronically (column 11, lines 55-61).

As per claims 31 and 42, see claims 1 and 40.

As per claims 32 and 43, said method and system, wherein the identification particulars are displayed on the display means (column 6, lines 18-20).

As per claims 33 and 44, said method and system, including a printer for printing a hard-copy of selected data (column 6, lines 9-10).

As per claims 35-36, 46-47, 52, 54, 61 and 63, said method and system, wherein the input means includes a reader capable of reading in a wireless fashion a tag device in or on the vehicle, the tag device carrying the said identification particulars of the vehicle (column 5, lines 52-55).

'Art Unit: 3629

As per claims 39, 50 and 70, said method and system, wherein the reference identification particulars are reference identification particulars of stolen vehicles (column 6, lines 25-26).

As per claims 59 and 68, said method and system, wherein the remote station includes alternate communication means for communicating with other databases (column 6, lines 21-26).

As per claim 71, said method and system, wherein a communication means are provided for receiving reference identification particulars of vehicles communicated from a remote station to the device (column 6, lines 21-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashan in view of Hjelmvik et al. (WO 98/30982).

As per claims 34 and 45, Bashan teaches all the limitations of claims 34 and 45, except that said input means includes a keypad via which the identification particulars of the vehicle and the parking zone are manually entered.

Hjelmvik et al. teaches a method and system for monitoring parked vehicles, including a hand-held unit equipped with a keyboard by means of which a registration number can be entered manually (column 8, lines 25-27).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bashan to include that said hand-held unit

Art Unit: 3629

equipped with a keyboard by means of which a registration number can be entered manually, because it would enhance the redundancy of the system by allowing to operate the system when wireless downloading of said identification particulars of the vehicle is not possible.

Claims 37-38 and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashan in view of Ganot (US 5,166,680).

As per claims 37-38 and 48-49, Bashan teaches all the limitations of claims 37-38 and 48-49, except specifically teaching device-enabling means for selectively enabling the device by means of a password.

Ganot teaches a method and system for portable parking meter device, wherein a password is used to prevent unauthorized use of said device (column 9, lines 3-12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bashan to include that a password is used to prevent unauthorized use of said device, because it would enhance the security of the system.

Claims 55-57 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashan in view of Vazvan (WO 97/19568).

As per claims 55-56 and 64-65, Bashan teaches all the limitations of claims 55-56 and 64-65, except that the system includes a plurality of remote stations associated with parking zones, each remote station being in wireless communication with an associated remote station, wherein said telecommunication network is a cellular telephone network.

Vazvan teaches a mobile parking method and system, including a plurality of remote computers (parking databases) associated with parking zones, and

'Art Unit: 3629

wherein each remote computer is in wireless communication with a hand-held device, such as a cell phone (page 2, lines 9-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bashan to include a plurality of remote stations adapted to be in wireless communications with a cell phones, because it would allow to employ said system in the areas not equipped with alternative communication means.

As per claims 57 and 66, Vazvan teaches said method and system, wherein said reference identification particulars are downloaded by means of SMS messaging (page 1, lines 1-3).

Claims 58 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashan.

As per claims 58 and 67, Bashan teaches all the limitations of claims 58 and 67, including use of communication network, except specifically teaching that said communication network includes the Internet.

Official notice is taken that it is well known that the Internet is a worldwide collection of network and gateways that use the TCP/IP suite of protocols to communicate with one another (Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 4th Edt.; 1999).

Therefor, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bashan to include that said communication network includes the Internet, because posting said reference identification particulars, including information about stolen vehicles, on the Web would make said information instantly available to a plurality of control stations or Internet-enabled hand-held devices, thereby make it more convenient for the users.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Art Unit: 3629

applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

CP7

JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600